

The Non-Split Bessel Model on $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ as an Iwahori-Hecke Algebra Module

Will Grodzicki

Abstract

We realize the non-split Bessel model of Novodvorsky and Piatetski-Shapiro in [15] as a generalized Gelfand-Graev representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, as suggested by Kawanaka in [12]. With uniqueness of the model already established in [15], we establish existence of a Bessel model for unramified principal series representations. We then connect the Iwahori-fixed vectors in the Bessel model to a linear character of the Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ following the method outlined more generally in [1]. We use this connection to calculate the image of Iwahori-fixed vectors of unramified principal series in the model, and ultimately provide an explicit alternator expression for the spherical vector in the model. We show that the resulting alternator expression matches previous results of Bump, Friedberg, and Furusawa in [5].

1 Preliminaries

In their 1973 paper [15], Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky defined a model for irreducible admissible representations of the group $G = \mathrm{GSp}(4)$ over a p -adic field called the Bessel model, and showed that the dimension of such an embedding is at most 1. Our first task in this paper is to show that each unramified principal series representation of G has a non-split Bessel model, and to provide an explicit integral representation of the corresponding Bessel functional. Once we have done this, we will proceed to our ultimate goal of providing an explicit expression for the Iwahori-fixed vectors in the model. In particular, the formula that we develop for the spherical function agrees with the formula for the spherical function in the Bessel model on $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ established by Bump, Friedberg, and Furusawa in [5].

Along the way, we will describe how our construction of the Bessel functional fits into a conjectural program for connecting characters of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of G and multiplicity-free models of principal series representations. This program, formulated by Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg in [1], was motivated by the study of the Whittaker and spherical functionals, which it contains as special cases.

We will show momentarily that the most natural way to view this connection between these models and characters of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra is from the perspective of the “universal principal series.” Our description of the universal principal series and its structure as an $\mathcal{H}$ -module follows the treatment provided by Haines, Kottwitz, and Prasad in [11].

Although our results in this paper will apply specifically to $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ over a p -adic field, we expect them to generalize considerably, much as the examples of the Whittaker and spherical models do, and towards that end we will work in greater generality when possible. In particular, for the rest of this section, let G be a split, connected reductive group over a p -adic field F with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$ and uniformizer π . Let k denote the residue field $\mathfrak{o}/(\pi)$, and let q denote its cardinality. Let B be a Borel subgroup of G with maximal torus T and unipotent subgroup U such that $B = TU$. Let $\bar{U}$ denote the opposite unipotent of U in B . We assume that these subgroups, as well as G , are

defined over $\mathfrak{o}$. Note that this means that $K = G(\mathfrak{o})$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let J denote the Iwahori subgroup, which is the preimage of $B(k)$ under the canonical homomorphism $G(\mathfrak{o}) \rightarrow G(k)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of G , which is the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of functions $C_c(J \backslash G / J)$, with multiplication given by convolution.

We define the universal principal series M to be the vector space $C_c(T(\mathfrak{o})U \backslash G / J)$. Evidently, we can make M into a right $\mathcal{H}$ -module where $\mathcal{H}$ acts by convolution. Now, observe that $T/T(\mathfrak{o})$ is isomorphic to the cocharacter group $X_*(T)$ of G under the map that sends $\mu \in X_*(T)$ to $\mu(\pi) \in T/T(\mathfrak{o})$. We will write $\mu(\pi)$ as π^μ throughout this paper. Define $R := C_c(T/T(\mathfrak{o})) = \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]$, and regard R as a left $(T/T(\mathfrak{o}))$ -module via the inverse of the “universal” character $\chi_{\text{univ}} : \pi^\mu \mapsto \pi^\mu$. If we use normalized induction to form $\text{ind}_B^G \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}$, and then take its J -fixed vectors $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$, then we can see that $M \cong \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$ as right $\mathcal{H}$ -modules; explicitly we have $\eta : M \rightarrow \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$ where

$$\eta(\phi)(g) = \sum_{\mu \in X_*(T)} \delta_B(\pi^\mu)^{-1/2} \pi^\mu \phi(\pi^\mu g).$$

Here we can see the motivation for our terminology: if we’re given an unramified principal series obtained from parabolic induction by a character $\chi : T/T(\mathfrak{o}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, then χ determines a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism $R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_R M \cong \text{ind}_B^G(\chi^{-1})^J,$$

the Iwahori-fixed vectors of our original unramified principal series.

In order to gain a better understanding of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$, we are going to make use of an alternate point of view of M . First, we note that M is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}$ as a free, rank one right $\mathcal{H}$ -module; it has a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis made up of the characteristic functions $1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UwJ}$ where w is an element of the affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}$. The isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}$ to M is given by the map $h \mapsto 1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ} * h$. We can define a left action of $\mathcal{H}$ on M via this isomorphism: in particular, we identify $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with the endomorphism

$$h : 1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ} * h' \mapsto 1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ} * (hh').$$

Using η , we can transfer this left $\mathcal{H}$ -action to $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$, so that $h \in \mathcal{H}$ sends $\phi_1 * h'$ to $\phi_1 * (hh')$, where $\phi_1 = \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ})$. Note that this left action identifies $\mathcal{H}$ with $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(M)$.

Now, if we take the obvious left action of R on $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$ and transfer it via η^{-1} to M , we see that R embeds into $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(M)$, and hence embeds into $\mathcal{H}$. Additionally, the finite Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0 = C(J \backslash K / J)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$, and there is a vector space isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_0$. While we will often conflate $\pi^\mu \in R$ with its embedded image in $\mathcal{H}$, we would like to point out that the image of π^μ is convolution with the characteristic function $1_{J\pi^\mu J}$ only when μ is dominant. We use T_s to denote the generator 1_{JsJ} of $\mathcal{H}_0$, where s is a simple reflection in the Weyl group, W , of G . The generators of $\mathcal{H}_0$ satisfy the same braid relations that the simple reflections in W satisfy, in addition to satisfying the quadratic relation

$$(T_s - q)(T_s + 1) = 0.$$

Finally, to understand $\mathcal{H}$ in terms of these generators, we need the Bernstein relation, first proved in [13], which says that, for $\pi^\mu \in R$ and $T_s \in \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$T_s \pi^\mu = \pi^{s(\mu)} T_s + (1 - q) \frac{\pi^{s(\mu)} - \pi^\mu}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $s = s_\alpha$ for a simple root α in the root system Φ of G .

Recall that the spherical function, ϕ° , in $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$ is defined as

$$\phi^\circ(g) := \delta^{-1/2}(\pi^\mu)\pi^{-\mu},$$

where $g = tuk$ is the Iwasawa decomposition of g with $u \in U$, $k \in G(\mathfrak{o})$, and $t \in T(F)$ where $t \equiv \pi^\mu \in T(F)/T(\mathfrak{o})$. Using the Iwahori-Bruhat decomposition, we see that

$$\phi^\circ = \sum_{w \in W} \phi_w,$$

where $\phi_w := \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ})$. In order to provide an explicit expression for ϕ° in the Bessel model, we are going to need to use the fact that the spherical function in the model is contained in a submodule isomorphic to $V_\varepsilon := \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_0} \varepsilon$, where ε is a linear character of $\mathcal{H}_0$. We will show that the Bessel model contains V_ε with multiplicity one; this fact will be crucial to our calculations.

From the quadratic relation for $\mathcal{H}_0$, we can see that the only possible eigenvalues for the generators of $\mathcal{H}_0$ are -1 and q . The braid relations for $\mathcal{H}_0$ then imply that we either have two or four linear characters of $\mathcal{H}_0$, depending on whether or not the Dynkin diagram for G is simply laced. We can see that $V_\varepsilon \cong R$ as vector spaces, so we can transfer the $\mathcal{H}$ -action on V_ε to R via $v_\varepsilon \mapsto r$, where r is any element of R and v_ε is the eigenvector of $\mathcal{H}_0$ corresponding to ε . In practice, our choice of r such that $v_\varepsilon \mapsto r$ will be crucial. Roughly stated, a goal of Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg is to find many examples where, if $\mathcal{L}$ is an R -valued map arising from a unique model, then there is a character ε of $\mathcal{H}_0$ and a subgroup $S \subset G$ such that the transformation properties of $\mathcal{L}$ under S imply that $\mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -map from M to V_ε ; a key idea here is that the models are connected to the representations of $\mathcal{H}_0$ via the Springer correspondence - we will discuss this connection further at the end of this section, as well as at the end of the paper.

The simplest examples in this program are the Whittaker and spherical models. If we take $\mathcal{L}$ to be the R -valued spherical functional, uniquely determined up to scalar by the condition that $\mathcal{L}(\phi(gk)) = \mathcal{L}(\phi(g))$ for all $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ and $k \in K$, and ε to be the trivial character on $\mathcal{H}_0$, then it was shown by Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg (based on the work of Casselman in [7]) that $\mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -intertwiner from M to V_ε ; the analogous result was shown by Brubaker, Bump and Licata where $\mathcal{L}$ is taken to be the R -valued Whittaker functional, uniquely determined up to scalar by the condition that $\mathcal{L}(\phi(g\bar{u})) = \psi(\bar{u})\mathcal{L}(\phi(g))$ for all $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ and $\bar{u} \in \bar{U}$, where ψ is a non-degenerate character of $\bar{U}$, and ε is the sign character of $\mathcal{H}_0$. Most recently, in [1], Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg showed that the Bessel functional on the doubly-laced group $\text{SO}(2n+1)$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -intertwiner from M to V_ε in the manner described above; in this case, ε is the character of $\mathcal{H}_0$ that acts by -1 on long simple roots and by q on short simple roots.

In general, we start with a subgroup S of G and a linear $\mathbb{C}$ -valued character ψ of S , and we look for an R -module homomorphism $\mathcal{L} : \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}) \rightarrow R$ such that $\mathcal{L}(s \cdot \phi) = \psi(s)\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ for all $s \in S$ and $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$, where the action of G on $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ is given by right translation. In order to find $\mathcal{L}$, we will use Mackey theory. In the case where F is a finite field, Mackey theory tells us that the space of such R -module homomorphisms is in bijection with the vector space of functions $\Delta : G \rightarrow R$ that satisfy the equivariance properties

$$\Delta(sgb) = \psi(s)\Delta(g)\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}(b)$$

for all $s \in S$, $b \in B$; here we are thinking of ψ as taking values in R , since R is a commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with $\mathbb{C}$ included in it. A similar story holds when F is a p -adic field, but in this case we must also consider the attendant topological concerns. If such a Δ exists, we get the corresponding R -module homomorphism $\mathcal{L}$ from the convolution

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi)(g) = \int_{B \backslash G} \Delta(h^{-1})\phi(hg) dh.$$

If such an $\mathcal{L}$ exists then the space $\text{Ind}_S^G \psi$ is called a model for $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ - we say that the model is unique if the space $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}), \text{Ind}_S^G \psi)$ is one-dimensional, i.e. if the space of functionals like $\mathcal{L}$ is one-dimensional.

It can be shown that if $\mathcal{L}$ is restricted to the space of Iwahori-fixed vectors, $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$, then $\mathcal{L}$ induces a left $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure on its image. In particular, the group algebra R embedded in $\mathcal{H}$, as described earlier, acts on the image of $\mathcal{L}$ by translation. What's more, it turns out that the only $\mathcal{H}$ -module actions on R , in which the embedded copy of R in $\mathcal{H}$ acts by translation, are those arising from the isomorphism $R \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\mathcal{H}} \varepsilon$, with ε a linear character of $\mathcal{H}_0$. Putting this all together, we end up with Brubaker, Bump and Friedberg's conjecture with regards to this program:

Conjecture 1.1 (Rough Form). *[1] Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an R -valued linear map on $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ obtained from a unique model. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -map from M to $V_\varepsilon = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\mathcal{H}} \varepsilon$ for some choice of linear character ε of $\mathcal{H}_0$ and the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J & \\ \eta \uparrow & \text{---} \mathcal{L} \text{---} & \\ M \cong \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_v} & V_\varepsilon \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

with $v_\varepsilon := \mathcal{L}(\phi_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{v_\varepsilon} : h \mapsto h \cdot v_\varepsilon$ where h acts on v_ε according to the module structure on V_ε . In particular, there exists an $r \in R$ such that $r \cdot \mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -map to the module V_ε with eigenvector 1.

Of course, such an $\mathcal{H}$ -map $\mathcal{L}$ is guaranteed to exist since $\mathcal{F}_{v_\varepsilon}$ and η are isomorphisms; rather, the dotted line is meant to reiterate the point made earlier that we are looking for a subgroup such that the transformation properties of $\mathcal{L}$ under this subgroup imply that $\mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -map to V_ε .

In this paper, we will show in Section 3 that the Bessel functional on $\text{GSp}(4)$ as defined by Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky in [15] provides another example of such an $\mathcal{L}$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G = \text{GSp}(4)$ and let ε be the character of $\mathcal{H}_0$ that acts by multiplication by -1 on long simple roots and acts by q on short simple roots. Let $V_\varepsilon = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\mathcal{H}} \varepsilon$. Then the diagram (1.2) commutes by taking $v_\varepsilon = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$, where ρ_ε is half of the sum of the long positive roots; and by taking $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{B}$, the non-split Bessel functional as defined by Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky.*

It should be noted that the split Bessel model should also give rise to a functional fitting into Conjecture 1.1 - however, we do not expect the associated character of $\mathcal{H}_0$ to be ε as in the non-split case. Instead, we expect it to be associated to the sign character of $\mathcal{H}_0$, just as the Whittaker functional is.

Before we can prove this theorem, we will discuss the definition of the Bessel model on $\text{GSp}(4)$ and then use Mackey theory to prove the existence of a Bessel model for $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. We conclude Section 2.2 with an explicit realization of the Bessel functional as an integral. And then, once we have proved Theorem 1.2, in Section 4.1 we will use that result to calculate the image of the spherical function in the model V_ε and show that it has a nice formulation as a polynomial in R . We note here that our proof of Theorem 1.2 does not rely on prior knowledge of the image of the spherical function in the model - in this way our method of proof differs from the proofs of similar results in [1]. Instead, we will calculate the relevant intertwining constants directly. Additionally, the proof we give does not use uniqueness of the model in an essential way, should we eventually find ourselves in a situation where the space $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}), \text{Ind}_S^G \psi)$

is finite-dimensional instead of one-dimensional. We will discuss how this situation might arise naturally within this program when we discuss the Springer correspondence later in the paper.

As for our calculation of the spherical function, in this theorem, α_1, α_2 denote the simple roots of Φ , which now denotes the root system of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let ρ be the half-sum of the positive roots of Φ , and let ρ_ε be as defined in Theorem 1.2. Then for any dominant coweight λ ,*

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ) = \frac{\pi^{-\rho_\varepsilon^\vee} (1 - q\pi^{\alpha_2^\vee})(1 - q\pi^{(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^\vee})}{\pi^{\rho^\vee} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee})} \mathcal{A}((1 - q\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee})(1 - q\pi^{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^\vee})\pi^{2\rho_\varepsilon^\vee - \rho^\vee + \lambda}),$$

where the action of T on $\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}$ is by right translation and where $\mathcal{A}(\pi^\mu) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} w\pi^\mu$ is the standard alternator expression with W acting on $X_*(T)$ in the usual way.

In Section 4.2, we discuss the fourth character, σ , of the finite Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, which acts by multiplication by q on long simple roots and -1 on short simple roots. At this time we do not have a realization of the intertwiner $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying the diagram (1.2) that is also defined according to a subgroup transformation, but we have matched the image of the spherical function under $\mathcal{F}_v$ for σ to the image of the spherical function in the Whittaker-Orthogonal models defined by Bump, Friedberg and Ginzburg in [6]. Finally, as mentioned previously, we wrap up the paper in Section 5 with a discussion of the role in this story that we believe is played by the Springer correspondence.

Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky do not provide an explicit integral formula for their functional, so part of our task in proving Theorem 1.2 is coming up with the correct integral formula for $\mathcal{L}$. Our method for doing this follows what we believe to be the general method for connecting models of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_S^G \sigma$ to characters of $\mathcal{H}_0$. We will say a few words about this before moving on to the rest of the paper, which will be focused on the theorems above.

We believe that the unique models that give rise to such an R -homomorphism $\mathcal{L}$ as described above are related to Kawanaka's construction of the "generalized Gelfand-Graev representations" (gGGr) in [12]. Although Kawanaka's results are given in the context of algebraic groups over finite fields, we believe that they can be suitably adapted for the p -adic setting. For this paragraph only, all notations for algebraic groups indicate points over the finite field $F = F_q$. To construct a gGGr, we begin with a nilpotent $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ -orbit with representative A . We then use Kirillov's orbit method to form the attached representation η_A on $\overline{U}_A$, where U_A is the unipotent radical of the associated parabolic subgroup P_A and $\overline{U}_A$ is the opposite unipotent. We denote the stabilizer of the representation η_A contained in the Levi L_A of P_A by $Z_L(A)$, and we note that $Z_L(A)$ is a reductive group. We build a representation $\tilde{\eta}_A$ on $\overline{U}_A \rtimes Z_L(A)$ by taking the tensor product of η_A with a representation of $Z_L(A)$. Then the gGGr associated to A is $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{U}_A Z_L(A)}^G \tilde{\eta}_A$. In Conjecture 2.4.5, Kawanaka offers a method of producing gGGr's that contain each unipotent representation with multiplicity one. Since the principal series representations are precisely those representations containing a B -fixed vector, Kawanaka's conjecture implies that, for each irreducible $\mathcal{H}_0$ -module, there should be a unique gGGr containing it with multiplicity one. After the conjecture, Kawanaka notes that the nilpotent orbit containing A and the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_0$ appearing inside it appear to be linked via the Springer correspondence.

Shifting back to the p -adic setting, we note that in [14], Mœglin and Waldspurger give a treatment of those representations - also referred to as gGGr's in [12] - that are constructed by inducing η_A from $\overline{U}_A$ up to G directly. However, when we try to construct gGGr's (as defined in the previous paragraph) in the p -adic setting, the obstacle that we encounter is that the representation $\tilde{\eta}_A$ is not necessarily guaranteed to be a genuine representation; we are only guaranteed that it is projective.

However, if η_A is a character and $\tilde{\eta}_A$ is formed by tensoring with a character of $Z_L(A)$, as is the case for the Bessel model on $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, then $\tilde{\eta}_A$ will be a genuine representation.

Unlike the Whittaker model, which served as the inspiration for the definition of a Gelfand-Graev representation (see [10]), the spherical model and Bessel model are not realized directly as gGGr's. Instead, we realize these models by extending η_A from $\overline{U}_A$ to $\overline{U}_A \rtimes (Z_L(A) \cap G(\mathfrak{o}))$, and then inducing to G . In the case of the Whittaker model, $Z_L(A)$ is trivial, so it appears that this method of extending η_A to the semidirect product of $\overline{U}_A$ and $Z_L(A) \cap G(\mathfrak{o})$ is a step towards understanding the general construction of gGGr's over local fields.

2 The Bessel Model and the Bessel Functional

We return now to the setting where $G = \mathrm{GSp}(4)$, and show how the Bessel model as formulated in [15] fits into the narrative formulated at the end of the previous section before we move on to establishing our main results. We carry all of our notation through from the previous section. We will have need to realize specific elements of G , and so we will explicitly define G as

$$G := \{g \in M_4(F) \mid g^\top \Omega g = k\Omega, k \in F^\times\},$$

where

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 \\ & -1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the first section, we let Φ denote the root system of G , with simple roots α_1, α_2 , and let s_1, s_2 denote the corresponding simple reflections in W . Let ρ denote the half-sum of the positive roots of Φ , and let Φ^+ and Φ^- denote the sets of positive and negative roots of Φ , respectively.

2.1 The Bessel Model as a Generalized Gelfand-Graev Representation

The transformation property satisfied by the Bessel model depends on the parabolic subgroup P_A of G containing the subgroup corresponding to the short simple root α_1 . We can factor $P = L_A U_A$ where L_A is the Levi component of P , and U_A is the unipotent component of P , as outlined previously. In this case, the nilpotent element A can be chosen so that A is the sum of non-zero elements in the long simple root α_2 -subalgebra and in the $(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$ -subalgebra. Let $\overline{U}_A$ denote the opposite unipotent of U_A . Let ψ_0 be a non-degenerate additive character on F^+ , and let $\psi_A(u) = \psi_0(\mathrm{tr}(ru'))$ for $u \in \overline{U}_A$, where u' is the lower left 2×2 block of u and $r \in \mathrm{Mat}(2, F)$. We assume that r is non-degenerate. Then the linear character ψ_A is the representation of $\overline{U}_A$ that we denoted as η_A in the previous section.

We wish to extend $\tilde{\psi}_A$ to a character of $\overline{U}_A \rtimes Z_L$, where $Z_L = Z_L(r)$ is the centralizer of r in L_A (this is the same group as $Z_L(A)$ as described in the previous section, by duality). If we look at the orbits of the elements of $\overline{U}_A$ under the conjugation action of L_A , we see that each orbit contains an element with

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Piatetski-Shapiro and Novodvorsky show that Z_L is a torus in L_A - if it is non-split then the upper left 2×2 block of an element of Z_L looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \omega\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2).$$

We can then define $\tilde{\psi}_A(tu) = \psi_A(u)$ for $t \in Z_L$ and $u \in \overline{U}_A$. Note that this representation is the one denoted by $\tilde{\eta}_A$ in the previous section, constructed from ψ_A and the trivial representation of Z_L . Then, following the previous section, we define the Bessel model to be $\text{Ind}_{\overline{U}_A Z_L}^G(\tilde{\psi}_A)$.

The Bessel functional for an irreducible admissible representation θ on G is defined to be a linear functional $\mathcal{B}$ on the representation space V_θ of θ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\theta(ut)v) = \tilde{\psi}_A(ut)\mathcal{B}(v),$$

for $v \in V_\theta$, $t \in Z_L$ and $u \in \overline{U}_A$. In particular, note that this means that $\tilde{\psi}_A$ must agree with the central character of θ . Following [5], let $Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) = Z_L \cap \text{SL}(2, \mathfrak{o})$, so that Z_L is the semidirect product of the compact group $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ and the center of G . We want the character $\tilde{\psi}_A$ to have $\mathfrak{o}$ as its conductor, so we choose $\omega \in \mathfrak{o}$. In order to ensure that $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ is non-split, we choose $\omega \notin \mathfrak{o}^2$. In the next section we will discuss the existence and uniqueness of a Bessel functional for $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$. As mentioned previously, the latter condition was covered in [15] in greater generality, but the Mackey theory argument outlined in Section 2.2 has the additional benefit of suggesting an integral realization of the functional, as described in Section 1. We end this section with the statement of Novodvorsky and Piatetski-Shapiro's theorem regarding the uniqueness of the Bessel functional:

Theorem 2.1. [15] *Let θ be an irreducible admissible representation of the group G in a complex space V . Then the dimension of the space of all linear functionals $\mathcal{B}$ on V for which*

$$\mathcal{B}(\theta(ut)v) = \tilde{\psi}_A(ut)\mathcal{B}(v), \text{ for all } t \in Z_L, u \in \overline{U}_A, v \in V$$

does not exceed one.

2.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Bessel Functionals for Principal Series Representations

In this section, we will use Bruhat's extension of Mackey theory as described in [16] in order to first show that $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ admits a Bessel model, and then to give an integral realization of the corresponding Bessel functional. In particular, much of the argument used to prove this result for $\text{SO}(2n+1)$ given in [9] can be applied without significant alteration, so, in the discussion to follow, we will refer the reader to the relevant results in [9] where appropriate. Before we begin, we note, per [11], that while the treatment in [9] ultimately yields a $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functional on principal series representations, the method of proof applies equally well to a functional taking values in any commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, and so the fact that χ_{univ}^{-1} takes values in R does not introduce any new complications when translating results from [9].

As mentioned above, the argument that we will use to show that $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}), \text{Ind}_{\overline{U}_A Z_L}^G \tilde{\psi}_A)$ is 1-dimensional originated with Rodier in [16], and it makes use of the following theorem of Bruhat:

Theorem 2.2. [4] *Let G be a locally compact, totally disconnected unimodular group. Let H_1 and H_2 be two closed subgroups of G , and δ_i the module of H_i . Let τ_i be a smooth representation of H_i in the vector space E_i , π_i be the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \tau_i$ in the Schwarz space of τ_i .*

Then the space of all intertwining forms I of π_1 and π_2 is isomorphic to the space of $(E_1 \otimes E_2)$ -distributions Δ on G such that

$$\lambda(h_1) * \Delta * \lambda(h_2^{-1}) = (\delta_1(h_1)\delta_2(h_2))^{1/2} \Delta \circ (\tau_1(h_1) \otimes \tau_2(h_2)) \quad (2.1)$$

where $h_i \in H_i$ and $\lambda(x)$ is the Dirac distribution in x . The correspondence between I and Δ is given by

$$I(p_1(f_1), p_2(f_2)) = \int_G dg_2 \int_G f_1(g_1 g_2) \otimes f_2(g_2) d\Delta(g_1), \quad (2.2)$$

where f_i are locally constant functions on E_i with compact support, and p_i is the projection from this space of functions to the Schwarz space of τ_i .

Let $\mathcal{D}(X, R)$ denote the space of R -distributions on a locally compact, totally disconnected space X . Following [9], we begin by noting that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}, \mathrm{Ind}_{Z_L U_A}^G \tilde{\psi}_A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{ind}_{Z_L U_A}^G \tilde{\psi}_A^*, \mathrm{ind}_B^G (\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1})^*),$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_A^*$ and $(\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1})^*$ are the smooth contragredients of $\tilde{\psi}_A$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}$, respectively. Then, by Theorem 2.2, this latter space is isomorphic to the subspace $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\psi}_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$ of $\mathcal{D}(G, R)$ of R -distributions Δ on G satisfying

$$\lambda(b) * \Delta * \lambda(h^{-1}) = \delta_B^{1/2}(b) \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(b) \tilde{\psi}_A^*(h) \Delta. \quad (2.3)$$

for all $h \in \overline{U}_A Z_L$ and $b \in B$. With this condition in mind, we will use a double-coset decomposition of G to analyze $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\psi}_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$. The Bruhat decomposition $G = \sqcup_{w \in W} Bw\overline{U}$ tells us that every element of G lies in some double coset $Bwx_{-\alpha_1}(t)\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$, where $x_{-\alpha_1}(F)$ is the $-\alpha_1$ root subgroup of G , and where $w = s_1, s_2 s_1, s_1 s_2 s_1$, or w_0 , since $s_1 \in \overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$. In fact, for each of these w , $wx_{-\alpha_1}(t) = x_\alpha(t)w \in Bw$, where either $\alpha = \alpha_1$ or $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, so we can refine our double coset decomposition and say that every element of G lies in one of the double cosets $Bw\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$.

In a series of results, starting with Proposition 2.4, Friedberg and Goldberg show that, for a given non-zero $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\psi}_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$, Δ can only be supported on one specific double coset, and that, in addition, Δ is completely determined by its restriction to that double coset. The same thing is true in our case, and we will show that the only double coset that can serve as the support of Δ is $B\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$. Once we have done this, our proof of uniqueness of the Bessel model concludes in the same way described in [9].

The following lemma allows us to determine which of these double cosets do not satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(b) = \tilde{\psi}_A(w^{-1}bw) \quad (2.4)$$

for some $b \in B$ with $h = w^{-1}bw \in \overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$. By Theorem 1.9.5 in [18], any double coset that fails to satisfy (2.4) for all such b is not part of the support of any distribution in $\mathcal{D}_{\eta_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$.

Lemma 2.3. *If $w(\alpha) \in \Phi^+$ for any α such that $x_\alpha(t)$ is in the support of $\tilde{\psi}_A$, then every element of $\mathcal{D}_{\eta_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$ must vanish on $Bw\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$.*

Proof. In this case, we have $\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(x_{w(\alpha)}(t)) = 1$ for all $t \in F$, but $\tilde{\psi}_A(x_\alpha(t))$ is not constant, so (2.4) does not hold on $Bw\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$. $\square$

Since $w(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \in \Phi^-$ for $w = s_2 s_1, s_1 s_2 s_1, w_0$, Lemma 2.3 tells us that every $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$ must vanish off of $B\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$.

In the rest of this section, we will discuss the integral realization of the Bessel functional for $\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}$, as well as the issue of convergence of the functional. We will leave the proof of the existence of a non-zero Bessel functional for Section 3. If $\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}$ has a Bessel model, then Theorem 2.2 tells us that, if Δ is a non-zero element of $\mathcal{D}_{\eta_A, \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}}(G, R)$, then the corresponding intertwining form, I , of $\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})}^G \tilde{\psi}_A$, is given by (2.2). Hence, the corresponding Bessel functional is realized as the inner integral of I , which in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\phi)(g) &= \int_G \phi(hg) d\Delta(h) \\ &= \int_{Z_L(\mathfrak{o})} \int_{\overline{U}_A} \psi(\overline{u}) \phi(\overline{u}hg) d\overline{u} dh, \end{aligned}$$

with g set equal to 1.

Upon showing that this integral is non-zero in the next section, we will have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.4. *The space of Bessel functionals on $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ is one dimensional and there exists a unique such Bessel functional whose restriction to functions supported on the big cell $P_A \overline{U}_A$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee} \int_{Z_L(\mathfrak{o})} \int_{\overline{U}_A} \psi(\overline{u}) \phi(\overline{u}h) d\overline{u} dh.$$

Here we have normalized the Bessel functional so that the diagram (1.2) will commute with $v_\varepsilon = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$ as in Theorem 1.2. Of course, we could just as easily define the outer integral to be over all of Z_L , since we can see Z_L is compact in the non-split case, so that the functional as defined is just a constant multiple of the Bessel functional we would get by integrating over all of Z_L . Note that, in the split case, Z_L is no longer compact, so that we will have to worry more about convergence of the functional in this case. Because of this, we expect the integral realization of the functional to have a slightly different form, so that, as a Hecke algebra map, it behaves like the Whittaker functional and intertwines the sign character.

We conclude this section with a brief discussion regarding the convergence of the functional $\mathcal{B}$. We will start by discussing the convergence of $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$, $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}$ in a particular completion of R , as described in Section 6.2 of [11] for the Whittaker functional. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{-\alpha_2^\vee, (-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^\vee, (-2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^\vee\}$, and let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{J}]$ denote the subalgebra of R generated by $\mathcal{J}$. Let $R_{\mathcal{J}}$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{J}]$ with respect to the maximal ideal generated by $\mathcal{J}$. Our initial claim is that $\mathcal{B}(\phi) \in R_{\mathcal{J}}$. Since $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}$ is compactly supported mod B , we can see that we do not need to include any positive coroots in $\mathcal{J}$. Additionally, since $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ is an integral over $\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$, we can see that there is no need to include $-\alpha_1^\vee$ in $\mathcal{J}$ either. In order to see that $\mathcal{B}(\phi) \in R_{\mathcal{J}}$, we apply the following lemma from [11]:

Lemma 2.5. [11] *Let $\mu \in X_*(T)$. Then the set $\overline{U} \cap \pi^\mu UK$ is compact.*

Finally, we observe that, due to the oscillation of the character ψ_A , all but finitely many of the coefficients of the Laurent series $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ will vanish, which means that $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ is indeed an element of R , not just $R_{\mathcal{J}}$. Note that, if we were to specialize χ_{univ}^{-1} to a $\mathbb{C}$ -valued character on B , we could show that the resulting functional converges in $\mathbb{C}$ on elements of the corresponding principal series representation using an argument analogous to that presented in [9].

3 The Bessel Functional as a Hecke Algebra Intertwiner

3.1 Principal Series Intertwining Operators

We will now introduce the principal series intertwining operators. These operators turn out to be closely connected to the left action of the elements of the finite Hecke algebra on $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$, and we will exploit this connection in order to show that our functional acts as a Hecke algebra intertwiner in the way predicted in Theorem 1.2.

Our initial goal is to define a family of intertwining operators, one for each $w \in W$, that take $\mathcal{M}$ to itself. Our first guess at such an operator

$$\mathcal{I}_w : \phi \mapsto \int_{U \cap w \overline{U} w^{-1}} \phi(w^{-1}ug) du,$$

does not quite work, because it does not preserve $\mathcal{M}$. As shown in [11], there is a way to extend $\mathcal{M}$ by scalars to a completion of R according to the roots

$$\Phi_w^+ := \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w^{-1}(\alpha) \in \Phi^-\},$$

such that this extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is preserved by $\mathcal{I}_w$, but instead of doing this, we choose to use normalized versions of these intertwiners, A_w , where

$$A_w := \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee}) \right) \mathcal{I}_w,$$

since, using basic properties of $\mathcal{I}_w$ recorded in Lemma 1.13.1 in [11], we can see that A_w preserves $\mathcal{M}$. Now, since $A_w \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M})$, we can regard A_w as an element of $\mathcal{H}$ acting on the left of $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, we see that, for a simple reflection s_α , the desired relation between A_{s_α} and T_{s_α} is

$$A_{s_\alpha} = (1 - q^{-1})\pi^{\alpha^\vee} + q^{-1}(1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee})T_{s_\alpha}. \quad (3.1)$$

We pause here to note that it was Rogawski in [17] who first used (3.1) to recover earlier results of Rodier and others on the structure of the unramified principal series representations. However, Rogawski was using (3.1) to recover information about the intertwining operators from his knowledge of the Hecke algebra action, which is the opposite of what we will do.

3.2 Calculating Intertwining Factors

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we will use (3.1) to reduce the problem to understanding the interaction between the principal series intertwiners and the functional. In particular, since the Bessel functional is unique and since $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A}_{s_\alpha}$ is a Bessel functional on $\text{ind}_B^G(s_\alpha \cdot \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$, we know that it must be a constant multiple of $s_\alpha \circ \mathcal{B}$. Hence, for each simple root α , we want to calculate $c_\alpha \in R$ such that

$$\mathcal{B} \circ A_{s_\alpha} = c_\alpha(s_\alpha \circ \mathcal{B}).$$

This turns out to be a tractable calculation, yielding the following results:

Proposition 3.1. *With notation as above, we have that*

$$\mathcal{B} \circ A_{s_1} = (1 - q^{-1}\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee})(s_1 \circ \mathcal{B}), \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} \circ A_{s_2} = (\pi^{\alpha_2^\vee} - q^{-1})(s_2 \circ \mathcal{B}). \quad (3.3)$$

Remark. We expect that, for the rank n case, (3.2) will hold for all short simple roots and (3.3) will hold for the long simple root.

In order to prove (3.2), we will need to calculate the image of the Iwahori-fixed vectors ϕ_1 and ϕ_{s_1} in the model. It turns out that the difficult part of this is calculating the intersection of our domain of integration, $\overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$, with the support of these functions. The goal is to express the intersection as a set whose measure we can calculate when it appears during evaluation of the functional. As a first step towards determining these intersections, then, we note that $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ is contained in the Iwahori-Bruhat cells J and Js_1J . Recalling the definition of $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ from Section 2.1, we see that elements of the stabilizer are in J if and only if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and $\beta \in (\pi)$. Since $Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap Js_1J$ is the complement of J contained in $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$, we see that $Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap Js_1J$ consists of

those elements of $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ such that $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Hence, an element of $Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$ is in Js_1J if and only if $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$. We choose our Haar measure so that $m(Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap J) = 1$, which means that $m(Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap Js_1J) = q$.

If $\bar{u}_A \in \bar{U}_A$ has Iwahori-Bruhat decomposition bwj with $b \in B$, $w \in W$, and $j \in J$, and $z \in Z_L(\mathfrak{o})$, then $\bar{u}_A z$ can be in the support of ϕ_1 , BJ , only if $z \in J$ and $w = 1$, or if $z \in Js_1J$ and $w = s_1$. Likewise, $\bar{u}_A z$ can be in the support of ϕ_{s_1} , Bs_1J , only if $z \in J$ and $w = s_1$ or if $z \in Js_1J$ and $w = 1$. With this in mind, once we develop our understanding of $\bar{U}_A \cap BJ$ and $\bar{U}_A \cap Bs_1J$, we should be able to calculate $\mathcal{B}(\phi_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\phi_{s_1})$ easily.

In order to determine that $\bar{U}_A \cap Bs_1J$ is empty, we are going to appeal to the Iwasawa decomposition of G with respect to P_A and to the Bruhat factorization of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ with respect to $P_A(\mathfrak{o})$. In other words we will be using the “block” Iwasawa decomposition $G = P_A G(\mathfrak{o})$, which is just a more coarse version of the usual Iwasawa decomposition. The “block” Bruhat decomposition of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ is achieved analogously - we begin by defining J_A to be the preimage of $P_A(k)$ under the canonical homomorphism $G(\mathfrak{o}) \rightarrow G(k)$, so that $G(\mathfrak{o}) = J_A \sqcup J_A s_2 J_A$, which gives us the decomposition $G = P_A J_A \sqcup P_A s_2 J_A$. Since $Bs_1J \subset P_A J_A$, we will be able to use the result of the following lemma to figure out what $\bar{U}_A \cap Bs_1J$ is.

Lemma 3.2. $\bar{U}_A \cap P_A J_A = \bar{U}_A \cap J_A$.

Proof. Let $\bar{u}_A \in \bar{U}_A \cap P_A J_A$. We see that the standard argument for the rank 1 Iwahori factorization $J = (J \cap B)(J \cap \bar{U})$ can be adapted here to give $J_A = (J_A \cap P_A)(J_A \cap \bar{U}_A)$. Using this, we see that we can factor $\bar{u}_A = pj$, with $p \in P_A$ and $j \in J_A \cap \bar{U}_A$. Rewriting this as $\bar{u}_A j^{-1} = p$, we see that $\bar{u}_A j^{-1} \in \bar{U}_A \cap P_A$, so $\bar{u}_A = j \in J_A \cap \bar{U}_A$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 tells us that $\bar{U}_A \cap Bs_1J$ is contained in $\bar{U}_A \cap J_A$. In particular, note that $\bar{U}_A \cap J_A \subset J$, which means that $\bar{U}_A \cap Bs_1J$ is empty.

Our next step is to show that $\bar{U}_A \cap BJ = \bar{U}_A \cap J$. To do this, we will use the result analogous to the one above for the usual Iwahori factorization. This result follows by an argument similar to the one given in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. $\bar{U} \cap BJ = \bar{U} \cap J$.

Proof. Let $\bar{u} \in \bar{U} \cap BJ$. Then, using the standard Iwahori factorization, $J = (J \cap B)(J \cap \bar{U})$, we see that we can factor $\bar{u} = bj$, with $b \in B$ and $j \in \bar{U} \cap J$. Rewriting this as $\bar{u} j^{-1} = b$, we see that, while $\bar{u} j^{-1} \in \bar{U} \cap B$, so $\bar{u} = j \in \bar{U} \cap J$. $\square$

For our purposes, suppose that $\bar{u}_A \in \bar{U}_A \cap BJ$ in the argument above. Then, using the factorization of $\bar{u}_A$ from the proof of Lemma 3.3, we see that $\bar{u}_A = j$. In particular, this means that $j \in \bar{U}_A$, as well as meaning that $\bar{u}_A \in J$, so that we see that $\bar{U}_A \cap BJ = \bar{U}_A \cap J$.

With these results behind us, we now see that

$$\bar{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap BJ = (\bar{U}_A \cap J)(Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap J) \quad (3.4)$$

and that

$$\bar{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap Bs_1J = (\bar{U}_A \cap J)(Z_L(\mathfrak{o}) \cap Js_1J). \quad (3.5)$$

We make the choice now to normalize our Haar measure so that $m(\bar{U}_A \cap J) = 1$. We are ready to prove Proposition (3.1):

Proof of Proposition 3.1. In order to make our calculation of c_{α_1} easier, we will evaluate $\mathcal{B} \circ A_{s_1}$ on the Iwahori-fixed vector $\phi_1 + \phi_{s_1}$. From Lemma 1.13.1 in [11], we know that

$$\mathcal{B}(A_{s_1}(\phi_1 + \phi_{s_1})) = (1 - q^{-1}\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee})\mathcal{B}(\phi_1 + \phi_{s_1}).$$

Note that, if we can show that $\mathcal{B}(\phi_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\phi_{s_1})$ are both invariant under the reflection s_1 , then we will have proved (3.2). Now, it follows from (3.4) that $\mathcal{B}(\phi_1) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$, and hence $\mathcal{B}(\phi_1)$ is invariant under the reflection s_1 . Similarly, it follows from (3.5) that $\mathcal{B}(\phi_{s_1}) = q\pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$, and so we see that $\mathcal{B}(\phi_{s_1})$ is also invariant under the reflection s_1 .

Next, we calculate c_{α_2} . Finding this intertwining constant is similar to the corresponding calculation for the Whittaker functional on $\mathrm{GL}(2)$. Let ϕ be an element of $\mathrm{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1})^J$ on which $\mathcal{B}$ is non-zero. A priori, we do not know that such an element exists - however, in our proof of (3.2) we showed that ϕ_1 is such a function. We see that

$$\mathcal{B}(A_{s_{\alpha_2}}\phi)(1) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee} \int_{Z_L(\mathfrak{o})} \int_{\overline{U}_A} \int_F \psi_A(\overline{u}) \phi(s_2 x_{\alpha_2}(\tau) \overline{u} z) d\tau d\overline{u} dz,$$

where $x_{\alpha_2}(\tau)$, with $\tau \in F$, denotes an element of the α_2 -root subgroup of G . Note that we only need to evaluate the functional at 1 in order to determine the intertwining constant. Using the rank 1 Bruhat decomposition

$$s_2 x_{\alpha_2}(\tau) = h_{\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1}) x_{\alpha_2}(\tau) x_{-\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1}),$$

where h_{α_2} is the semisimple subgroup of the embedded $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ triple corresponding to α_2 , and excluding the point $\tau = 0$, we can rewrite this integral as

$$\int_{Z_L(\mathfrak{o})} \int_{\overline{U}_A} \int_{F^\times} \psi_A(\overline{u}) \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(h_{\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1})) \phi(x_{-\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1}) \overline{u} z) d\tau d\overline{u} dz.$$

After factoring $\overline{u}$ into root subgroups and performing some linear changes of variables, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(A_{s_{\alpha_2}}\phi)(1) &= \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee} \int_{Z_L(\mathfrak{o})} \int_{\overline{U}_A} \psi_A(\overline{u}) \phi(\overline{u} z) \int_{F^\times} \psi_A(-\omega \tau^{-1}) \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(h_{\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1})) d\tau d\overline{u} dz \\ &= c_{\alpha_2}(s_{\alpha_2} \circ \mathcal{B}(\phi))(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{\alpha_2} = \int_{F^\times} \psi_A(-\omega \tau^{-1}) \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1}(h_{\alpha_2}(\tau^{-1})) d\tau.$$

This last integral can be evaluated by shells so that, after normalizing the Haar measure so that $m(x_{\alpha_2}(\mathfrak{o})) = 1$, we get the familiar Whittaker intertwining constant

$$c_{\alpha_2} = (\pi^{\alpha_2^\vee} - q^{-1}).$$

□

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to show that $\mathcal{B}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -intertwiner as claimed in Theorem 1.2, we will need to know the action of T_{s_α} on $V_\varepsilon \cong R$ explicitly for simple reflections s_α . The calculation of this action follows easily from the Bernstein relation: for a basis element $\pi^\mu v_\varepsilon$, where, as before, v_ε denotes the eigenvector of $\mathcal{H}_0$ corresponding to ε , (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \pi^\mu v_\varepsilon &= \pi^{s_\alpha(\mu)} \varepsilon(T_{s_\alpha}) v_\varepsilon + (1-q) \frac{\pi^{s_\alpha(\mu)} - \pi^\mu}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}} v_\varepsilon \\
&= \left(\varepsilon(T_{s_\alpha}) + \frac{1-q}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}} \right) \pi^{s_\alpha(\mu)} v_\varepsilon + \frac{q-1}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}} \pi^\mu v_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have rearranged terms so that we can see how $T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \pi^\mu v_\varepsilon$ is expressed as a linear combination of $\pi^\mu v_\varepsilon$ and $\pi^{s_\alpha(\mu)} v_\varepsilon$ over R . Thus, regarding T_{s_α} as an operator on R , we see that T_{s_α} acts on $f \in R$ by

$$T_{s_\alpha} : f \mapsto \left(\varepsilon(T_{s_\alpha}) + \frac{1-q}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}} \right) f^{s_\alpha} + \frac{q-1}{1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee}} f. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The main result we need to prove is that $\mathcal{B}$ is indeed a left $\mathcal{H}$ -module intertwiner from $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$ to V_ε , where ε is the character that acts by multiplication by -1 on long simple roots and acts by q on short simple roots. Once we have done this and checked that $\mathcal{F}(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ}) = \mathcal{B}(\phi_1) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$, we can see that the diagram commutes since $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J \cong M \cong \mathcal{H}$. That the diagram commutes on $1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ}$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.3; as shown in the proof of Proposition 3.1, $\mathcal{B}(\phi_1) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$, and we observe that $\mathcal{F}(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ}) = \mathcal{F}(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ} * 1_J) = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$.

In order to prove that $\mathcal{B}$ is a left $\mathcal{H}$ -module intertwiner, it suffices to show that, for any $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$,

$$\mathcal{B}(h \cdot \phi) = h \cdot \mathcal{B}(\phi),$$

for a set of generators $\{h\}$ for $\mathcal{H}$. In particular, we will choose our set of generators to be those elements of the form $\pi^\mu T_{s_\alpha}$ where $\mu \in X_*(T)$ and s_α is a simple reflection. Since π^μ acts by translation on both V_ε and $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})^J$, we can reduce to checking the equality on T_{s_α} .

From (3.1), we immediately see that

$$q^{-1}(1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee}) \mathcal{B}(T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \phi) = \mathcal{B}(A_{s_\alpha} \phi) - (1 - q^{-1}) \pi^{\alpha^\vee} \mathcal{B}(\phi).$$

Now, we need to split into cases since the left action of $\mathcal{H}$ on elements of V_ε depends on the length of the simple root α . From Proposition (3.1), we see that

$$q^{-1}(1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee}) \mathcal{B}(T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \phi) = \begin{cases} (1 - q^{-1} \pi^{\alpha^\vee})(s_\alpha \circ \mathcal{B})(\phi) + (q^{-1} - 1) \pi^{\alpha^\vee} \mathcal{B}(\phi) & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_1 \\ (\pi^{\alpha^\vee} - q^{-1})(s_\alpha \circ \mathcal{B})(\phi) + (q^{-1} - 1) \pi^{\alpha^\vee} \mathcal{B}(\phi) & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Dividing by $q^{-1}(1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee})$, we see that the operator acting on $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ is

$$f \mapsto \frac{q}{1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee}} \begin{cases} (1 - q^{-1} \pi^{\alpha^\vee}) f^{s_\alpha} + (q^{-1} - 1) \pi^{\alpha^\vee} f & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_1 \\ (\pi^{\alpha^\vee} - q^{-1}) f^{s_\alpha} + (q^{-1} - 1) \pi^{\alpha^\vee} f & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

If we compare this with the operator that we got in (3.6) that described the action of T_{s_α} on R , we see that it matches it exactly in both cases, remembering that $\varepsilon(T_{s_1}) = q$ and $\varepsilon(T_{s_2}) = -1$. Thus, $\mathcal{B}(T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \phi) = T_{s_\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{B}(\phi)$ for any $\phi \in \text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$ and simple reflection s_α . $\square$

4 Calculating Distinguished Vectors at Torus Elements

In this section, we will focus on calculating the images of distinguished vectors in unique models of the universal principal series of $\text{GSp}(4)$. In 4.1, we will conclude our discussion of the Bessel functional with a proof of Theorem 1.3. Then, in Section 4.2, we will move on to discussing the connection between the Whittaker-Orthogonal models defined in [6] and the proposed $\mathcal{H}$ -intertwiner corresponding to the fourth character, σ , of the finite Hecke algebra of $\text{GSp}(4)$.

4.1 Calculating Distinguished Vectors in the Bessel Model

We will use Theorem 1.2 to calculate the images of certain distinguished vectors under $\mathcal{B}$ on anti-dominant, integral torus elements, culminating with a proof of Theorem 1.3. Before we can prove Theorem 1.3, we will calculate the images of the Iwahori-fixed vectors $\phi_w = \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ})$ in terms of the action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $v_\varepsilon = \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$. Using the linearity of $\mathcal{B}$ along with the alternator formula developed in [1], we will arrive at a proof of Theorem 1.3, which we will show matches the expression obtained in Corollary 1.8 in [5].

Proposition 4.1. *For dominant λ and fixed w ,*

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi_w) = \frac{1}{m(J\pi^\lambda J)} T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v_\varepsilon,$$

where the action of T on $\text{ind}_B^G \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1}$ is by right translation and where the action of $T_w \pi^\lambda$ on v_ε is the left action on v_ε appearing in the definition of $\mathcal{F}$.

In order to prove this proposition, we must first prove the following Iwahori factorization:

Lemma 4.2. $J = (J \cap B)(J \cap \overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o})).$

Proof. Using the usual Iwahori factorization, we can see that it suffices to show that the subgroup $x_{-\alpha_1}((\pi))$ of J is contained in $(J \cap B)(J \cap \overline{U}_A Z_L(\mathfrak{o}))$. To see that this is the case, observe that, for $\tau = u\pi^j$ with $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and $j > 0$, we can factor $x_{-\alpha_1}(\tau) = bh$, where

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & \det g \cdot (g')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } g = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \omega t^2)^{-1} & -\omega \tau (1 - \omega \tau^2)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \det \gamma \cdot (\gamma')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \tau \\ \tau & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

proof of Proposition 4.1. We begin by looking at the right-hand side, $T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v_\varepsilon$. We will use the commutativity of the diagram (1.2) and the dominance of λ to show that

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v_\varepsilon,$$

so that it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi_w) = \frac{1}{m(J\pi^\lambda J)} \mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}).$$

In order to see that this second equality holds, first note that $\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi_w = \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ\pi^\lambda})$ by definition (here we emphasize the definition of η as a vector-space isomorphism from $C_c(T(\mathfrak{o})U \backslash G)$ to $\text{ind}_B^G(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1})$). Now, if we look at $\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = \mathcal{B}(\eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ} * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}))$, we see from the definition of the convolution that

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = \int_{\overline{U}_A Z_L} \int_{J \backslash J\pi^{-\lambda} J} \int_J \tilde{\psi}(h) \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ})(hj\gamma) dj d\gamma dh.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 and making the change of variables $h \mapsto hj^{-1}$, the integral above simplifies to

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = m(J\pi^\lambda J) \int_{\overline{U}_A Z_L} \tilde{\psi}(h) \eta(1_{T(\mathfrak{o})U_wJ\pi^\lambda})(h) dh,$$

since the conductor of ψ is $\mathfrak{o}$. This is the equality that we wanted to establish.

To see that $\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v$, we first note that

$$\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J} = \eta((1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ} * T_w) * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = \eta((T_w \pi^\lambda) \cdot 1_{T(\mathfrak{o})UJ}),$$

where the second equality follows because λ is dominant. Thus, using the commutativity of the diagram (1.2), we see that

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi_w * 1_{J\pi^\lambda J}) = T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v_\varepsilon.$$

□

As noted at the beginning of the section, the linearity of $\mathcal{B}$ gives us the following immediate corollary regarding ϕ° :

Corollary 4.3. *For dominant λ ,*

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ) = \frac{1}{m(J\pi^\lambda J)} \sum_{w \in W} T_w \pi^\lambda \cdot v_\varepsilon.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we will to make use of an identity of operators on $\text{Frac}(R)$. Recall that when we recorded the action of T_{s_α} as an operator on R in (3.6), it was with R regarded as a left $\mathcal{H}$ -module with eigenvector 1. Our goal is to calculate the image of the spherical function in the model V_ε , and, as noted previously, R is isomorphic to V_ε under the isomorphism $1 \mapsto \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}$. Then, extending the action of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\text{Frac}(R)$, we realize the operator associated to T_{s_α} via this isomorphism (now regarded as an isomorphism of $\text{Frac}(R)$) as

$$\mathfrak{T}_{s_\alpha} := \pi^{\rho_\varepsilon^\vee} T_{s_\alpha} \pi^{-\rho_\varepsilon^\vee},$$

so that we can rewrite Corollary (4.3) as

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ) = \frac{\pi^{-\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}}{m(J\pi^\lambda J)} \sum_{w \in W} \mathfrak{T}_w \pi^{\lambda+2\rho_\varepsilon^\vee}.$$

Explicitly, the action of $\mathfrak{T}_{s_\alpha}$ on $\text{Frac}(R)$ for a simple root α is given by

$$\mathfrak{T}_{s_\alpha} : f \mapsto \frac{q}{1 - \pi^{\alpha^\vee}} \begin{cases} (\pi^{\alpha^\vee} - q^{-1})\pi^{\alpha^\vee} f^{s_\alpha} + (q^{-1} - 1)\pi^{\alpha^\vee} f & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_1 \\ (1 - q^{-1}\pi^{\alpha^\vee})f^{s_\alpha} + (q^{-1} - 1)\pi^{\alpha^\vee} f & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

The operator identity that we will use is a deformed version of the Weyl character formula, established in [1] in a more general setting where G is only assumed to be split, connected and reductive. Let Ω denote the operator on $\text{Frac}(R)$ given by the Weyl character formula-like expression

$$\Omega := \pi^{-\rho^\vee} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \pi^{-\alpha^\vee})^{-1} \mathcal{A}(\pi^{-\rho^\vee}).$$

The deformation depends on the choice of character of the Hecke algebra, as described in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. [1] *If we have a character τ of $\mathcal{H}_0$ for G , then*

$$\sum_{w \in W} \mathfrak{T}_w = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{-1}^+} (1 - q\pi^{\alpha^\vee}) \right) \Omega \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_q^+} (1 - q\pi^{\alpha^\vee}) \right),$$

where Φ_{-1}^+ , resp. Φ_q^+ , are those positive roots that are the same length as the simple roots α such that $\tau(\alpha) = -1$, resp. $\tau(\alpha) = q$.

In the case of ε , $\Phi_{-1}^+ = \{\alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ and $\Phi_q^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$, and making these substitutions gives us Theorem 1.3. The image of the spherical function in the Bessel model evaluated on torus elements was already calculated by Bump, Friedberg and Furusawa in Corollary 1.8 in [5], and, indeed, it can be confirmed by observation that our formula matches theirs, up to normalization.¹

4.2 Whittaker-Orthogonal Models and the Shalika character

In this section, we consider the character σ of $\mathcal{H}_0$, which was defined in Section 1 to be the character which acts by q on long simple roots and -1 on short simple roots. For each of the other three characters of $\mathcal{H}_0$ on $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, we have found a subgroup $S \subset G$ such that the model formed by inducing S to G contains that character with multiplicity one - σ is the only character for which we have not found an explicit integral realization of $\mathcal{L}$ as in the diagram (1.2). Of course, even without this information, we can still say what the image of the spherical function under $\mathcal{L}$ in V_σ , evaluated on torus elements, would have to be, by the commutativity of (1.2). Upon completing this calculation, we notice that the result matches the image of the spherical function in the Whittaker-Orthogonal model (WO-model) defined by Bump, Friedberg, and Ginzburg in [6].

The WO-model is defined as a representation on $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$. Let $\overline{U}$ be the opposite unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$ with a Levi component that is diagonal except for a central $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ block and let ψ be a character of $\overline{U}$ defined as

$$\psi(\overline{u}) := \psi_0(\overline{u}_{21} + \overline{u}_{32} + \cdots + \overline{u}_{n-2,n-1} + \overline{u}_{n-1,n+1} + \overline{u}_{n-1,n+2}),$$

where ψ_0 is a nontrivial additive character of F with conductor $\mathfrak{o}$. Let $Z(\psi) \cong \mathrm{SO}(3)$ be the stabilizer of this character contained in the Levi. Then, for an unramified representation θ of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$, we say that θ has a WO-model if there exists a nonzero linear functional WO on the representation space V_θ of θ such that

$$\mathrm{WO}(\theta(\overline{u}h)x) = \psi(\overline{u})\mathrm{WO}(x),$$

for $\overline{u} \in \overline{U}_\pi$, $h \in Z(\psi)$, and $x \in V_\theta$. The uniqueness of WO-models is established in Theorem 4.1 in [6]. The authors then show, in Theorem 4.2, that, if $\hat{\chi} = \mathrm{ind}_B^G(\chi)$ is an irreducible unramified principal series representation, then $\hat{\chi}$ admits a WO-model if and only if $\hat{\chi}$ is a *local lifting* of an unramified principal series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$. We call $\hat{\chi}$ a local lifting from $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ if one of the Langlands' parameters of $\hat{\chi}$ is 1. The authors note that this is in conformity with Langlands' functoriality since the L-group of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$, and that if one of the Langlands' parameters is 1 then the given conjugacy class is in the image of the inclusion of L-groups $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$.²

Returning to the context of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ with the character σ , we can again use Theorem 4.4 with $\Phi_{-1}^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ and $\Phi_q^+ = \{\alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ to find $\mathcal{L}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ)$. On the other hand, suppose that we have an unramified principal series representation of $\mathrm{SO}(6)$, $\hat{\chi} = \mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi$, where $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+1})$ with $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+1}$ quasicharacters of $F^\times$. Let $z_i = \chi_i(\pi)$ for each i , and let $z = \chi(\pi)$. Then, if $z_{n+1} = 1$, we have the following formula from Theorem 4.3 in [6] for the image of the spherical vector of $\hat{\chi}$ under WO evaluated at torus elements π^λ , with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$:

Theorem 4.5. [6] *Let WO be the WO-functional on $V_{\hat{\chi}}$ such that $\mathrm{WO}(\phi^\circ) = 1$. For $\pi^\lambda \in T/T(\mathfrak{o})$, we have*

$$\mathrm{WO}(z^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ) = z^{-2\lambda_1} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{A}(z^{\rho^\vee} z_1^{\lambda_1} (1 - q^{-1} z_1^{-2}))}{\mathcal{A}(z^{\rho^\vee})}.$$

¹In [5], they work with a choice of unramified principal series $\mathrm{ind}_B^G \chi$ instead of the universal principal series. The parameters denoted α_1, α_2 in [1] can be expressed as $\alpha_1^2 = \chi(\pi^{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^\vee})$ and $\alpha_2^2 = \chi(\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee})$.

²Recall that the L-group of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$.

Remark. Note that all of the root data here are given with respect to the root system for $\mathrm{SO}(2n+2)$, with ρ defined analogously to how it was defined for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$.

We will conflate $\hat{\chi}$ with the representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ of which it is a local lifting (and, hence, we will also conflate the spherical functions for both representations). After normalizing $\mathcal{L}$ so that $\mathcal{L}(\phi^\circ) = 1$, we see that, for $\lambda = (1, 0) \in X_*(T)$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ) = \frac{\pi^{2\alpha_1^\vee + 3\alpha_2^\vee} + \pi^{\alpha_1^\vee + 3\alpha_2^\vee} - \pi^{\alpha_1^\vee + 2\alpha_2^\vee} + \pi^{\alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_2^\vee} + \pi^{\alpha_2^\vee} - q^{-1}\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_2^\vee}}{\pi^{\alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_2^\vee}}.$$

Embedding λ in the cocharacter group of the torus of $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ as $(1, 0, 0)$, a short calculation shows that $\mathrm{WO}(z^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ)$ agrees with $\mathcal{L}(\pi^{-\lambda} \cdot \phi^\circ)$. Thus, after normalization, we see that the value of our proposed Hecke algebra intertwiner between the Shalika character and the universal principal series on $\mathrm{Sp}(4)$ on the spherical function agrees with the value of the WO -functional on $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ on the corresponding spherical function, which indicates that the WO -functional is a lift of our proposed intertwiner.

5 Unique Models and the Springer Correspondence

The Springer correspondence is a bijection between irreducible representations of W and pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \mu)$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is a nilpotent orbit of the Lie algebra and μ is a character of $A(\mathcal{O})$, a subgroup of the G -equivariant fundamental group. Geometrically, this bijection arises from the realization of the irreducible representations of W in the top degree cohomology group of partial flag varieties. For this discussion, we will restrict ourselves to the setting of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$. In this case, this bijection can be represented by a combinatorial recipe between the two sets, so that we can quickly get to the heart of our proposed connection between gGGr 's and characters of $\mathcal{H}_0$. In the case of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, we have five irreducible representations of $\mathcal{H}_0$: four are the characters that we have already discussed, and one is a two-dimensional representation. Recalling that for type C_n , W is the semidirect product of S_n and $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$, we can parametrize these representations as well as the nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ using the following theorems, which can be found in [8]:

Theorem 5.1. *The irreducible representations of the Weyl group W of type C_n are parametrized by ordered pairs $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ of partitions such that $|\mathbf{p}| + |\mathbf{q}| = n$. The resulting representation has dimension*

$$\dim \pi_{(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})} = \binom{n}{|\mathbf{p}|} (\dim \pi_{\mathbf{p}}) (\dim \pi_{\mathbf{q}}).$$

We also have

$$\pi_{(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{q}})} \cong \pi_{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})} \otimes \mathrm{sgn},$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$ denotes the conjugate partition of $\mathbf{p}$, and sgn denotes the sign character. The representation $\pi_{(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}$ is characterized by the following property. Let V be the subspace of $\pi_{(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}$ consisting of all vectors on which the first $|\mathbf{p}|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act trivially while the remaining $|\mathbf{q}|$ copies act by -1 . Then $S_{|\mathbf{p}|} \times S_{|\mathbf{q}|}$ acts on V according to the representation $\pi_{\mathbf{p}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Using this parametrization, we can see that the four characters of $\mathcal{H}_0$ correspond to the pairs of partitions $([n], \emptyset)$, $(\emptyset, [1^n])$, $(\emptyset, [n])$, and $([1^n], \emptyset)$. Using Tits' deformation theorem, we can show that these first two partitions correspond to the trivial and sign characters, respectively. $(\emptyset, [n])$ corresponds to the character of $\mathcal{H}_0$ acting by q on short simple roots and -1 on long simple roots, and $([1^n], \emptyset)$ corresponds to the character acting by -1 on short simple roots and q on long simple

roots. Returning to $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$, the two-dimensional representation corresponds to the pair of partitions $([1], [1])$.

For the nilpotent orbits and their corresponding component groups, we find that we have the following parametrizations in type C_n (from [8]):

Theorem 5.2. *Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ are in one-one correspondence with the set of partitions of $2n$ in which odd parts occur with even multiplicity.*

Theorem 5.3.

$$A(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2)^b & \text{if all even parts have even multiplicity} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{b-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where b is the number of distinct nonzero parts of $\mathbf{d}$.

In the case of $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$, this means we have four nilpotent orbits corresponding to the partitions $[4]$, $[2^2]$, $[2, 1^2]$, and $[1^4]$, and for each of these partitions, the group $A(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}})$ is trivial except for the partition $[2^2]$, for which $A(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Using these parametrizations, the Springer correspondence gives us the following associations between the irreducible representations of W and pairs of nilpotent orbits and characters of the component group:

$(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$	$(\mathbf{d}, \mu_{\mathcal{O}})$
$(\emptyset, [1^2])$	$([1^4], 1)$
$([2], \emptyset)$	$([4], 1)$
$([1^2], \emptyset)$	$([2, 1^2], 1)$
$(\emptyset, [2])$	$([2^2], 1)$
$([1], [1])$	$([2^2], \text{sgn})$

If we use Kawanaka's gGGr construction to build the Whittaker functional, we see that the nilpotent element A that we start with lives in the orbit parametrized by the partition $[4]$ according to Theorem 5.3. If we do the same thing for the spherical functional, we see that we begin with an element of the orbit parametrized by $[1^4]$. However, Brubaker, Bump, and Licata showed in [2] that the Whittaker functional is an intertwiner for the sign character of $\mathcal{H}_0$, which is parametrized by $[1^4]$, and Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg showed in [3] showed that the spherical functional is an intertwiner for the trivial character of $\mathcal{H}_0$, which is parametrized by $[4]$. This led to the conjecture that, if one starts with an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_0$, then one should be able to construct a gGGr in which this representation is realized with multiplicity one from an element of the nilpotent orbit whose associated partition is the transpose of the partition associated to the nilpotent orbit associated to the original $\mathcal{H}_0$ -representation via the Springer correspondence. In the example established in this paper, we see that the Bessel functional is associated to the character ε of $\mathcal{H}_0$, which, via the Springer correspondence is associated to the nilpotent orbit parametrized by the partition $[2, 1^2]$. However, the transpose of this partition is $[3, 1]$, which does not parametrize a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$. The issue here is that, while, for type A_{n-1} , the transpose is an order-reversing involution of the Hasse diagram for the nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, the analogous involution for type C_n is a bit more complicated. In particular, if $\mathbf{d}$ parametrizes a given nilpotent orbit, but $\mathbf{d}^\top$ does not, then we follow further instructions in [8] for how to manipulate $\mathbf{d}^\top$ in order to find the image of $\mathbf{d}$ in the order-reversing involution; these manipulations are referred to as the C -collapse of $\mathbf{d}^\top$. In the case of the partition $[3, 1] = [2, 1^2]^\top$, the C -collapse of this partition is $[2^2]$, which is exactly the partition containing our original nilpotent element A in Section 2.1.

As for the fourth character that we discussed in Section 4.2, the Springer correspondence tells us that this character should be associated to the orbit parametrized by $[2^2]$. Since $[2^2]$ is its own transpose, our conjecture tells us that the gGGr that should contain this character with multiplicity one is the Bessel model. However, this cannot be correct since the values for the spherical function on torus elements in the Bessel model do not agree with the values for the spherical function in a model that intertwines σ .

The search for a unique model containing the remaining irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_0$ - the two-dimensional representation parametrized by $([1], [1])$ - also provides us with some avenues of future research. In Kawanaka's conjecture, there are no restrictions on the type of orbit from which the nilpotent element A is chosen, so we expect this connection between unique models and Hecke algebra representations to extend to higher-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{H}_0$. Attempting to do this will also require some development of the original conjecture, however, since, as seen from the table, the gGGr we would naively construct according to the conjecture would start with the nilpotent orbit parametrized by $[2^2]$. It is not surprising that that this orbit seems to be overloaded, since, up to this point, we have completely ignored the component group $A(\mathcal{O})$. Specifically, we would expect that the sign representation of $A(\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]})$ would be a factor in the construction of the gGGr for the representation parametrized by $([1], [1])$. In fact, this seems to be borne out in Conjecture 2.4.5 in [12], where the connection between $A(\mathcal{O})$ and the gGGr appears to be realized in the extension of the character η_A from $\overline{U}_A$ to the representation $\tilde{\eta}_A$ on $Z_L(A) \ltimes \overline{U}_A$. In particular, recall that this extension is achieved by taking the tensor product of η_A and a representation of $Z_L(A)$. In each of the examples computed so far, we have had the trivial representation of $A(\mathcal{O})$ as part of the pair $(\mathcal{O}, \mu_{\mathcal{O}})$ associated to our irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_0$, and in each case we have taken $\tilde{\eta}_A = \eta_A \otimes 1$, but Kawanaka implies that there is a connection between the character $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}$ and the character of $Z_L(A)$ that we use in the definition of $\tilde{\eta}_A$. Figuring out this connection seems like another logical next step in this story.

References

- [1] Ben Brubaker, Daniel Bump, and Solomon Friedberg, *Matrix coefficients and Iwahori-Hecke algebra modules*, Adv. Math. **299** (2016), 247–271. MR 3519469
- [2] Ben Brubaker, Daniel Bump, and Anthony Licata, *Whittaker functions and Demazure operators*, J. Number Theory **146** (2015), 41–68. MR 3267111
- [3] Benjamin Brubaker, Daniel Bump, and Solomon Friedberg, *Unique functionals and representations of Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **260** (2012), no. 2, 381–394. MR 3001798
- [4] François Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 97–205. MR 0084713
- [5] Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg, and Masaaki Furusawa, *Explicit formulas for the Waldspurger and Bessel models*, Israel J. Math. **102** (1997), 125–177. MR 1489103 (98k:22070)
- [6] Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg, and David Ginzburg, *Whittaker-orthogonal models, functoriality, and the Rankin-Selberg method*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), no. 1, 55–96. MR 1168366 (93e:11066)
- [7] W. Casselman, *The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. I. The spherical function*, Compositio Math. **40** (1980), no. 3, 387–406. MR 571057 (83a:22018)
- [8] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993. MR 1251060 (94j:17001)
- [9] Solomon Friedberg and David Goldberg, *On local coefficients for non-generic representations of some classical groups*, Compositio Math. **116** (1999), no. 2, 133–166. MR 1686785
- [10] I. M. Gel’fand and M. I. Graev, *Construction of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups over a finite field*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **147** (1962), 529–532. MR 0148765
- [11] Thomas J. Haines, Robert E. Kottwitz, and Amritanshu Prasad, *Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **25** (2010), no. 2, 113–145. MR 2642451
- [12] Noriaki Kawanaka, *Shintani lifting and Gel’fand-Graev representations*, The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 47, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 147–163. MR 933357
- [13] George Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 3, 599–635. MR 991016
- [14] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p -adiques*, Math. Z. **196** (1987), no. 3, 427–452. MR 913667 (89f:22024)
- [15] M. E. Novodvorskiĭ and I. I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, *Generalized Bessel models for the symplectic group of rank 2*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **90(132)** (1973), 246–256, 326. MR 0338279
- [16] François Rodier, *Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p -adic split groups*, Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973, pp. 425–430. MR 0354942

- [17] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p -adic group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 3, 443–465. MR 782228 (86j:22028)
- [18] Allan J. Silberger, *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 23, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1979, Based on lectures by Harish-Chandra at the Institute for Advanced Study, 1971–1973. MR 544991